

**CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles**

1 message

Kelly Misek <kjm1400@hotmail.com>
Reply-To: Kelly Misek <kjm1400@hotmail.com>
To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Wed, Nov 2, 2022 at 12:06 PM

To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred " method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
Kelly Misek
[742 S Orange Grove Ave Apt 6](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90036](#)

**11/02/2022**

1 message

City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
 To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Wed, Nov 2, 2022 at 12:54 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: jay marks <marksjay@earthlink.net>
 To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
 Cc:
 Bcc:
 Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2022 14:42:10 -0400
 Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
 To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred " method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
 jay marks
 2521 mount beacon terr.
 los angeles, CA 90068

----- Forwarded message -----

From: SUSAN ROSEN <susangrosen@sbcglobal.net>
 To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
 Cc:
 Bcc:
 Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2022 14:36:28 -0400
 Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
 To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred " method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and

major corridors).

- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
SUSAN ROSEN
6247 Sunnyslope Ave
Van Nuys, CA 91401

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Judith Wilkins <jwilkins1627@roadrunner.com>
To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2022 13:23:33 -0400
Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred" method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
Judith Wilkins
2449 North Commonwealth Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90027

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Derek Loughran <derekmloughran@gmail.com>
To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2022 13:16:02 -0400

Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred " method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
Derek Loughran
440 Raymond Ave # 2
Santa Monica, CA 90405

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Stephen Nelson <stevelynn91604@sbcglobal.net>
To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2022 10:46:39 -0400
Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred " method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
 Stephen Nelson
 4312 Colfax Ave. unit 15
 Studio City, CA 91604

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Jon Schober <jon.scho@gmail.com>
 To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
 Cc:
 Bcc:
 Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2022 09:12:17 -0400
 Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
 To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred" method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
 Jon Schober
 1400 Sutherland St
 Los Angeles, CA 90026

----- Forwarded message -----

From: C M <cmorton723@gmail.com>
 To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
 Cc:
 Bcc:
 Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2022 08:41:03 -0400
 Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
 To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred" method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.

- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
C M
108 East York St. Suite 216
Savannah, GA 31401

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Cathy Kraus <thatscat@hotmail.com>
To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2022 04:50:47 -0400
Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred" method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
Cathy Kraus
6240 Bakman Ave
North Hollywood, CA 91606

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Tony Grijalva <tonygrijal@roadrunner.com>
To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2022 03:12:40 -0400
Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred " method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
 Tony Grijalva
 3236 Velma Drive
 Los Angeles, CA 90068

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Brett Bell <bigdogsdancing@gmail.com>
 To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
 Cc:
 Bcc:
 Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2022 03:03:21 -0400
 Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
 To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred " method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
 Brett Bell
 10177 Silverton Ave
 Tujunga, CA 91042

10 attachments

**11/01/2022**

1 message

City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
 To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Wed, Nov 2, 2022 at 7:52 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: G Devine <brokeneye3@gmail.com>
 To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
 Cc:
 Bcc:
 Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2022 01:45:46 -0400
 Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
 To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred " method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
 G Devine
 2656 Santa Anita Ave
 Altadena, CA 91001

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Susan Ryan <susanryan2010@gmail.com>
 To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
 Cc:
 Bcc:
 Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2022 01:33:43 -0400
 Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
 To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred " method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and

major corridors).

- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
Susan Ryan
1217 S Orange Dr
Los Angeles, CA 90019

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Evelyn Stern <stern123@earthlink.net>
To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2022 00:53:20 -0400
Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred" method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
Evelyn Stern
12367 Deerbrook Ln
Los Angeles, CA 90049

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Harlan Lebo <hjlebo@gmail.com>
To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Tue, 1 Nov 2022 23:54:12 -0400

Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred " method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
Harlan Lebo
PO Box 614
La Mirada, CA 90637

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Carlos Nunez <cnunez001@ca.rr.com>
To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Tue, 1 Nov 2022 23:47:09 -0400
Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred " method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
 Carlos Nunez
 18009 Victory Blvd
 Reseda, CA 91335

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Ann Dorsey <aedorsey@hotmail.com>
 To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
 Cc:
 Bcc:
 Date: Tue, 1 Nov 2022 23:26:55 -0400
 Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
 To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred" method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
 Ann Dorsey
 18042 Schoenborn Street #5
 Los Angeles, CA 91325

6 attachments

-  **CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles.eml**
8K
-  **CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles.eml**
8K
-  **CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles.eml**
8K
-  **CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles.eml**
8K
-  **CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles.eml**
8K
-  **CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles.eml**
8K

**CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles**

1 message

Mary Robinson <maryrobinson0266@sbcglobal.net>
Reply-To: Mary Robinson <maryrobinson0266@sbcglobal.net>
To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Wed, Nov 2, 2022 at 3:49 PM

To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred " method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
Mary Robinson
[Woodrow Wilson Dr](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90046](#)

**11/02/2022**

1 message

City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
 To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Thu, Nov 3, 2022 at 7:32 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Andrea Sher <ma.sher27@gmail.com>
 To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
 Cc:
 Bcc:
 Date: Thu, 3 Nov 2022 00:16:08 -0400
 Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
 To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred " method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
 Andrea Sher
 4019 Goodland Ave
 Studio City, CA 91604

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Carrie Henderson <studiohenderson2@gmail.com>
 To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
 Cc:
 Bcc:
 Date: Thu, 3 Nov 2022 00:23:47 -0400
 Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
 To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred " method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and

major corridors).

- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
Carrie Henderson
4003 Goodland Ave.
Studio City, CA 91604

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Mary Anne Steinberger <midicello@littleblackdots.com>
To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2022 21:25:41 -0400
Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
To whom it may concern:

I strongly object to the sleazy back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred" method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.
- * LA is already overly lit up at night. This is causing serious physical and mental health problems due to poor/insufficient sleep of the general population.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
Mary Anne Steinberger
6807 Apperson St.
Tujunga, CA 91042

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Noah Youngelson <sohanmonk@gmail.com>
To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
Cc:
Bcc:

Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2022 21:19:22 -0400
 Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
 To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred" method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,
 Noah Youngelson
 2449 Walgrove Ave
 Los Angeles, CA 90066

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Ann Epstein-Cohen <a@harrydog.com>
 To: LA City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
 Cc:
 Bcc:
 Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2022 19:38:15 -0400
 Subject: CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles
 To whom it may concern:

I oppose the back-room dealing and fast-tracking of the IKE SMART CITY contract to install and maintain 300-500 Interactive Digital Ad Kiosks on our public streets throughout the City of Los Angeles.

I oppose the IKE Program for the following reasons:

- There has been NO opportunity for public comment or meaningful public input from Neighborhood Councils or the community at large.
- The Mayor and City Council propose a "piggyback" contract (which may not meet requirements of the LA Municipal Code) "as the most efficient and preferred" method of implementing the IKE program when it should be fully vetted instead.
- The IKE Program has not had ANY environmental review, including an analysis of cumulative impacts that takes into account the recently approved Street Transit Amenities Program (street furniture) or Metro's pending Transit Communications Network Program (digital billboards along freeways and major corridors).
- There has been NO financial analysis of projected revenues for this Program.
- The Houston Program of approximately 125 Ad Kiosks is not comparable to LA's proposed program of 300 to 500 of these structures.
- The IKE Program has not been reviewed by the City Council's Transportation Committee or LA's Department of City Planning (that may constitute a violation of the City Charter).
- The IKE Program will permit hundreds of Digital Ad Kiosks not in compliance with the City's 2002 Sign Ordinance jeopardizing the ability of the City to defend its ban on billboards. This in an environment of very litigious outdoor advertising companies that have already demonstrated their desire to challenge the City's legal authority to regulate billboards.
- By failing to engage in an open competitive bidding process, and by choosing an Ohio-based digital media company, the City has denied local vendors a fair opportunity to participate in this process.
- No analysis has been conducted as to the dangers associated with driver distraction based on roadside digital-changing messaging or how the mass and size create barriers to unobstructed visibility.
- The IKE Program may violate privacy rights by collecting information on each user's interaction with the Kiosks and may share the information without permission.
- Adoption of this Program would allow the commercialization of our shared public spaces.

For all of the reasons stated above and pursuant to Council Rule 69, I join Scenic America and Scenic Los Angeles in asking that you adopt Councilmember Bonin's Minority Report presented to the Council Committee on Trade, Travel and Tourism, and engage in a full and transparent outreach and public review process.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ann Epstein-Cohen

Sincerely,
Ann Epstein-Cohen
8028 Woodrow Wilson Drive
los angeles, CA 90046

5 attachments

-  **CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles.eml**
8K
-  **CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles.eml**
8K
-  **CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles.eml**
8K
-  **CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles.eml**
8K
-  **CF-22-1154- Installation and Maintenance Contract of Interactive Kiosks in The City of Los Angeles.eml**
8K